

Arizona Corporation Comr

1	DOCKETED BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
2 3 4 5	JIM IRVIN Commissioner - Chairman TONY WEST Commissioner CARL J. KUNASEK Commissioner
6 7 8	FOR APPROVAL OF THE PHASE TWO DECISION NO. 61652. CORPORATION ORDER
9	Open Meeting April 19 and 20, 1999 Phoenix, Arizona
11	BY THE COMMISSION:
12	FINDINGS OF FACT
13	1. On March 4, 1999, U S WEST Communications, Inc. (U S WEST) filed ar
14	application for approval of an agreement for service resale between U S WEST and Net-Te
15	Corporation (Net-Tel).
16	The term of the agreement runs from the date of Commission approval until January
17	31, 2001. The parties agree to commence negotiations on a new agreement no later than 160 days
18	prior to this termination date.
19	3. The agreement governs the terms and conditions under which U S WEST will resel
20	its services to Net-Tel.
21	4. The Telecommunications Act of 1996 (1996 Act) directed incumbent local exchange
22	carriers to make their networks available for interconnection and resale by new entrants to the local
23	exchange market. The 1996 Act provided for interconnection and resale agreements to be concluded
24	by voluntary agreement. This resale agreement between Net-Tel and U S WEST was voluntarily
25	negotiated, without resort to arbitration.
26	

10

13

14

16

Docket Nos. T-01051B-99-0130 T-03548A-99-0130

- Under terms of the agreement, U S WEST will make certain local exchange services 5. 2 available to Net-Tel at prices contained within the agreement and under the terms and conditions of 3 the agreement. Generally, U S WEST residential services will be made available to Net-Tel for resale 4 at a 12 percent discount. Business services will be available at an 18 percent discount.
- According to the 1996 Act and State Rule, the Commission must approve voluntarily 6 negotiated interconnection and resale agreements, if their provisions are non-discriminatory and in the 7 public interest. U S WEST has asserted that the provisions of the U S WEST/Net-Tel agreement are 8 non-discriminatory and in the public interest. Staff has reviewed the agreement and finds it to be non-9 discriminatory and in the public interest.
- Therefore, since there are no grounds for rejection of the agreement pursuant to Section 7. 11 252(e)(2)(A) of the 1996 Act, Staff recommends that the Commission approve the resale agreement 12||between U S WEST and Net-Tel.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- U S WEST and Net-Tel are Arizona public service corporations within the meaning 1. 15 of Article XV, Section 2, of the Arizona Constitution.
- The Commission has jurisdiction over Net-Tel and U S WEST and over the subject 2. 17 matter of the application.
- The Commission, having reviewed the application and Staff's memorandum has 3. 18 19 determined that the resale agreement negotiated between U S WEST and Net-Tel meets the 20 requirements of the 1996 Act including section 252(e)(2)(A) of the 1996 Act which governs approval 21 of voluntarily negotiated agreements and is in the public interest.
- The Commission maintains jurisdiction over the subject matter of the agreement and 22 4. 23 Amendments thereof, to the extent permitted pursuant to the powers granted the Commission by the 24 Arizona Constitution, Statutes, Commission Rule, and the 1996 Act and the Rules promulgated 25 thereunder.

26

27

28

27

28